



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/828,330 03/28/97 MORGAN

W I-852-002

EXAMINER

PM82/0308

N. PAUL FRIEDERICH
ANGENEHM LAW FIRM LTD
P.O. BOX 48755
COON RAPIDS MN 55448

CANCELLED, R ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
--------------------------	--------------

3635
DATE MAILED:

03/08/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/828,330

Applicant(s)
Morgan

Examiner
Robert Canfield

Group Art Unit
3635



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert Canfield

(3) _____

(2) Paul Fredericks

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 7, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed recapture rejection. The examiner stated that his position remains that each and every element spelled out in the prior examiner's reason for allowance in paper #2 of application serial number 08/139835 must be present in each of the independent claims in order to overcome the recapture rejection.

Mr. Fredericks stated that he would file an amendment adding each of the limitations to the independent claims

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

ROBERT CANFIELD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3635